IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.143 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.147 OF 2007

Ram Naipal ...Applicant.

Versus

State of Maharashtra
and Anr. ...Respondents.

....

Mr.B.G.Moorty, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.Satupte, Counsel for C.B.I.
Smt.V.R.Bhosale, APP, for the State.

....

CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATED : 6th March, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant-orig.accused, the learned APP for the State and the learned counsel for C.B.I.

2. The applicant has been convicted under the Prevention of Corruption Act. The maximum sentence imposed on the applicant is two years. The applicant is now seeking bail.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant has

submitted that the applicant was on bail during the trial and he has not misused the liberty granted to him. This statement is not controverted by the prosecution. The learned Advocate for the applicant has further submitted that the sentence of imprisonment is only two years and the appeal is not likely to be heard within such time. Hence, he has prayed that the applicant may be released on bail.

4. Looking to all these facts and evidence, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.

5. The applicant to be released on bail in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one or two sureties to make up the said amount.

6. Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)